



Long-term Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Following Direct-Acting Antiviral Therapy in Compensated Liver Cirrhosis Induced by Hepatitis C Virus Infection

Cristina Maria Muzica ¹, Carol Stanciu^{1*}, Cristina Cijevschi-Prelipcean¹, Irina Girleanu¹, Laura Huiban¹, Oana Cristina Petrea¹, Ana-Maria Singeap¹, Camelia Cojocariu¹, Tudor Cuciureanu¹, Catalin Sfarti¹, Sebastian Zenovia¹, Stefan Chriac¹, Gabriela Stefanescu¹, Irina Ciortescu¹, Corina Lupaşcu-Ursulescu², Egidia Miftode³ and Anca Trifan¹

¹Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi, Romania

²Department of Radiology, Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi, Romania

³Department of Infectious Diseases, Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi, Romania

*Corresponding author: Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Grigore T. Popa University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi, Romania. Email: stanciurol@yahoo.com

Received 2021 May 10; Revised 2021 July 30; Accepted 2021 August 11.

Abstract

Background: Considering the excellent safety profile and the high efficacy rates, great benefits were expected with the availability of the new direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) in treating hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. Following the publication of two articles in 2016 on the high incidence rates of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) following DAAs, several papers revealed contradictory results, thereby casting shadows on the role of DAAs in hepatocarcinogenesis.

Objectives: The present study aimed to assess the incidence and risk factors of HCC in patients with HCV genotype 1b infection and compensated cirrhosis with the sustained virological response (SVR) following DAAs.

Methods: This multicentric prospective study encompassed 479 patients with HCV genotype 1b compensated cirrhosis treated with paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir and dasabuvir (PrOD) +/- ribavirin (RBV) for 12 weeks in two tertiary centers in Northeastern Romania. The patients were prospectively followed up in the Institute of Gastroenterology Iasi, Romania, from November 2015 to December 2020.

Results: During the follow-up period (mean 60.11 ± 3.87 months), 23 patients (4.8%) developed HCC. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year cumulative incidence rates of HCC were 1.1, 1.9, and 2.6%, respectively. At the time of the diagnosis, 15 patients (65%) had a single tumor, 12 patients (52.2%) were within the Milan criteria, and nine persons (39%) had Barcelona liver cancer stage 0-A. In this regard, the mean AFP level was 35.3 ± 93.1 ng/mL. A multivariate analysis, age above 65 years, and a cutoff point of AFP ≥ 10 ng/mL at the end of treatment were independent factors associated with HCC. A majority of the patients ($n = 11$, 47.8%) received curative treatment by surgical resection. In this study, histopathological examination identified a moderately differentiated tumor (G2) in 5 patients, five patients had a poorly differentiated tumor (G3), and only one patient had a well-differentiated tumor (G1).

Conclusions: Our study revealed no evidence of the high incidence rate of HCC after the long-term follow-up of patients with HCV-related liver cirrhosis and SVR following DAA treatment. However, the cumulative 5-year risk remained above the cutoff point, and this makes the HCC screening cost-effective. The HCC occurrence appears to be associated with aging and a moderately increased AFP level at EOT (≥ 10 ng/mL).

Keywords: Direct-Acting Antivirals, Hepatitis C Virus, Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Long-term Risk

1. Background

According to Globocan 2020, HCC as the sixth most frequent malignancy and one of the most lethal malignancies was the third leading cause of neoplasia-related death in 2020 worldwide (1). Although recent data provide evidence on the significant decrease of the HCC incidence and

its mortality rates in high-risk regions, the incidence rates of HCC in so-called low-risk regions (namely Europe, Northern America, Australia, South America) are either rising or appear to plateau at a higher level over the last few years (2). Accordingly, the identification and management of the modifiable major risk factors such as hepatitis B (HBV) or C

virus (HCV) chronic infection, excessive alcohol consumption, aflatoxin, type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity, and smoking are of essence to interrupt the upward dynamics of the HCC rates (1).

Chronic HCV infection has an annual incidence rate ranging from 3 to 5% per year (3). According to the WHO's Global Hepatitis Report, about 71 million persons are infected with HCV worldwide (4). The carcinogenic potential of HCV has been extensively studied. According to previous studies, its pro-oncogenic effects on the infected cells are caused by both direct (i.e., DNA damage, oxidative mechanisms) and indirect (i.e., liver injury and fibrosis) mechanisms (5).

During two decades of interferon (IFN)-based therapy, obvious evidence from several studies has indicated that sustained virological response (SVR), obtained in < 50% of patients (6), reduced liver-related mortality and thereby the incidence rate of HCC (7-9). Data from the IFN era showed that achieving viral eradication (SVR) significantly reduced the risk of HCC, and this finding was a significant contribution to hepatologists (10, 11). However, the IFN-based therapy was ranked as suboptimal considering the low SVR rate (approximately 40 - 50%) and poor tolerance and limited access caused by strict criteria for the eligibility of patients (11).

The continuous research on the HCV viral genome has led to the discovery of direct-acting antivirals (DAAs). This finding was considered as one of the most significant advances in clinical medicine during the past decade and was associated with several benefits such as high efficacy (SVR rates > 95%), acceptable tolerability, short treatment duration (8 - 12 weeks), and simple administration (once-daily oral dosage) (12). Although the DAA therapy has been introduced recently, it has resulted in some benefits (e.g., improved liver function and portal hypertension and decreased liver fibrosis) in cirrhotic patients (12). According to the beneficial effects of SVR on the HCC occurrence reported in the IFN era, clinicians anticipated even further benefits, including a significant decrease in the HCC occurrence or recurrence, in cirrhotic patients treated with DAAs. However, in 2016, shadows were cast over such fantastic therapeutic triumph when two articles from Spain and Italy reported that the DAA therapy might favor the HCC occurrence or recurrence (13, 14). This issue was a hotbed of debate in more than 100 papers, letters, or communications; however, no conclusive result was achieved. While evidence suggests that the risk of HCC does not completely disappear after SVR, it is of great importance to determine the role of DAA therapy in hepatocarcinogenesis to show whether it is suppressing or promoting the development of HCC. As much data as possible on this topic is immediately required to better manage HCC surveillance, especially for cirrhotic patients.

2. Objectives

This study aimed to determine the long-term risk of de novo HCC and risk factors in patients with HCV genotype 1b compensated cirrhosis, following the achievement of SVR by DAA regimens. As a secondary objective, this study also aimed to assess the tumor aggressiveness and its impact on treatment decisions.

3. Methods

3.1. Patients

This multicentric cohort study analyzed the data from 479 consecutive patients with chronic HCV genotype 1b infection and compensated liver cirrhosis, treatment-experienced or naïve, treated with paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir, and dasabuvir (PrOD) +/- ribavirin (RBV) for 12 weeks in two tertiary centers in Northeastern Romania. The patients were prospectively followed up in The Institute of Gastroenterology Iasi, Romania, from November 2015 to December 2020. The patients were included in the study according to the Romanian National Health Insurance House's criteria: (1) patients with HCV genotype 1b infection; and (2) compensated cirrhosis defined as F4 by transient elastography (≥ 13 kPa). We excluded patients aged below 18 years at the initiation of treatment and those with concomitant human immunodeficiency virus or hepatitis B virus infection, heavy alcohol intake, and documented malignant neoplastic disease, including HCC.

3.2. Monitoring During and After DAA Treatment

Clinical parameters were measured using standard laboratory techniques at the "St. Spiridon" Emergency Hospital's laboratory. The laboratory tests (namely HCV RNA level, aspartate and alanine aminotransferases, bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, albumin, and international normalized ratio, serum creatinine, hemoglobin, platelet count, and alpha-fetoprotein) were performed before DAAs, at the end of treatment (EOT), three months after EOT (SVR), and whenever it was necessary. The diagnosis of HCV infection was decided based on the serum HCV RNA levels, measured with the COBAS TaqMan HCV quantitative test (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. Branchburg, NJ) with a lower limit of quantification and detection of 15 IU/mL. The scores of Child-Pugh-Turcotte (CPT) and model of end-stage liver disease (MELD) were calculated at the baseline, end of treatment, and 12 weeks after the therapy.

We used transient elastography (FibroScan; Echosens, Paris, France) to perform the liver stiffness measurement (LSM) with 13 kPa as the cutoff point for cirrhosis (15).

3.3. HCC Surveillance

The HCC screening was performed at the baseline in all patients using abdominal ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT), or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). US and serum α -fetoprotein (AFP) were performed every 3 - 6 months for all patients after the initiation of treatment.

3.4. Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the National Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants, while observing the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

All data were statistically analyzed using SPSS software version 22.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The continuous variables were expressed as median (first-third quartiles) and compared using Student's *t*-test; however, the categorical variables were reported as frequencies and percentages and compared using chi-squared or Fisher's exact tests. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate and plot the cumulative HCC incidence. Only complete data were analyzed in this study.

To compare the differences among the groups, the log-rank test was used. In this regard, a Cox proportional hazard model with a hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) generated by Cox regression was calculated in both univariate and multivariate analysis to detect the risk factors associated with the HCC occurrence. Moreover, we evaluated the relationship between AFP and the HCC occurrence using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) analysis, and the optimal cutoff value was selected at the highest specificity and sensitivity from the receiver operating characteristic (ROC). Statistically, two-tailed $P < 0.05$ was set as the significance level in this study. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to check the normality of the data distributions.

4. Results

4.1. Participants' Characteristics

Table 1 shows the demographic, clinical, biological, and imaging data of the study participants. The study included 479 patients treated with PrOD \pm RBV, with a median age of 60 (52-73) years, who mainly encompassed female patients (54.5 %). Of the research participants, 32% had a history of antiviral treatment with IFN, and 16.5% received RBV associated with PrOD. The participants' body mass index (BMI) was 27.76 ± 4.04 kg/m². More than half of the patients (57.2%) had comorbidities, the most common ones of

which were hypertension (36.5%) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (14%). All patients had compensated liver cirrhosis (according to CPT, 88.5% had a score of 5, and 11.5% had a score of 6).

Table 1. Participants' Demographic Characteristics at Baseline

Parameters	Values ^a
Age (y), median (IQR)	60 (52 - 73)
Gender, male/female	218/261 (45.5/54.5)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean \pm SD	27.76 \pm 4.04
Comorbidities	274 (57.2)
Obesity	16 (3.3)
Hypertension	175 (36.5)
Diabetes mellitus	67 (14)
Personal history of neoplasia	16 (3.3)
IFN experienced	153 (31.9)
Ribavirin	79 (16.5)
Esofageal varices	133 (27.8)
Small	69 (51.8)
Medium	21 (15.7)
Large	43 (32.3)
Child-Pugh score	
5	424 (88.5)
6	55 (11.5)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; IFN, interferon.

^a Values are expressed as No. (%) unless otherwise expressed.

4.2. Direct-Acting Antiviral Therapy Outcomes

All patients completed the 12-week treatment course and achieved SVR after the DAA therapy. The mean follow-up period was 60.11 ± 3.87 months. A statistically significant decrease was recorded at the ALT, AST, GGT, and AFP levels and LSM ($P < 0.001$) in SVR compared to baseline. Table 2 represents further information in this regard.

4.3. Incidence and Risk Factors of HCC Occurrence

After the mean follow-up of 60.11 ± 3.87 months, 23 patients (4.8%) developed HCC. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year cumulative incidence rates of HCC were 1.1, 1.9, and 2.6%, respectively. The mean period from the beginning of the treatment to the HCC diagnosis was 19.6 ± 13.7 months.

All patients in our cohort study had compensated liver cirrhosis (Child-Pugh A 5 or 6). Baseline factors contributing to the HCC occurrence following DAAs were then assessed (Table 3). The patients who were diagnosed with HCC ($n = 23$) were older (63 vs 59 years, $P = 0.022$) and more likely to be male (57 vs 55%, $P = 0.448$) compared to the non-HCC patients ($n = 456$).

Furthermore, higher AST and AFP levels, ActiTest scores, and lower PLT counts were observed at baseline in the HCC patients than the non-HCC patients. Table 4 presents the

Table 2. Effect of DAAs on Liver Function^{a, b, c}

Parameters	Baseline	SVR	P-Value
Platelets (/mm ³)	138 (101 - 181)	146 (105 - 193)	< 0.001
ALT (IU/L)	87 (60 - 123)	24 (19 - 33)	< 0.001
AST (IU/L)	83 (56 - 128)	22 (19 - 30)	0.001
ALP (IU/L)	94 (76 - 116)	92 (73 - 137)	< 0.001
GGT (IU/L)	69 (43 - 110.5)	32 (22 - 46)	< 0.001
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.92 (0.68 - 1.27)	0.74 (0.42 - 1.05)	0.233
Direct bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.43 (0.33 - 0.59)	0.43 (0.28 - 0.7)	< 0.001
Albumin (g/dL)	4.04 (3.73 - 4.38)	4.29 (3.94 - 4.6)	0.943
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	160 (146 - 192)	183 (152 - 210.25)	0.039
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	107 (83 - 125)	99.5 (77 - 125.75)	0.545
AFP (ng/mL)	9.28 (5.2 - 16.92)	3.89 (2.76 - 6.75)	0.002
LSM (kPa)	21.55 (16.78 - 32.63)	10.6 (7.1 - 15.3)	< 0.001
Child-Pugh score	5	5	0.235
MELD	8.1 (7 - 10)	7.5 (7 - 8)	0.321

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; LSM, liver stiffness measurements; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease.

^a Values are expressed as median value and range.

^b Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare values at baseline and SVR.

^c P < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Table 3. Baseline Factors with Possible Impact on HCC Occurrence^a

Variables	HCC = 23	No HCC = 456	P-Value
Age	63.04 ± 10.1	59 ± 8.14	0.022
Gender, male/female, (male%)	13/10 (57)	251/205 (55)	0.448
PLT, × 10 ⁴ /μL	12.6 ± 50.4	14.8 ± 63.9	0.109
BMI	26.9 ± 3.7	27.7 ± 4.04	0.156
AFP baseline	15.8 ± 23.5	13.9 ± 9.7	0.696
ActiTest	0.76 ± 0.13	0.69 ± 0.18	0.037
ALT, U/L	112.78 ± 69.07	103.54 ± 71.56	0.545
AST, U/L	110.87 ± 51.68	101.25 ± 66.88	0.497
GGT, U/L	89.68 ± 76.42	93.98 ± 81.67	0.809
ALP, U/L	113.95 ± 40.73	98.95 ± 33.91	0.046
Treatment experienced, Yes (%)	205 (45)	13 (56.5)	0.312

Abbreviations: PLT, platelets; BMI, body mass index; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALP, alkaline phosphatase.

^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated.

variables detected by multivariate Cox hazard regression analysis, which are significantly associated with the HCC development. According to the AUROC analysis for the HCC development regarding the AFP level recorded in all patients, the best cutoff value for the AFP level assessed at DAAs cessation was 10 ng/mL. After adjusting the intervening variables, we found an HR of the HCC development with a serum AFP level at EOT > 10 ng/mL of 3.01 (95% CI, 1.092 - 8.340, P = 0.046). A serum AFP level at EOT > 10 ng/mL was an independent risk factor of the HCC occurrence.

4.4. HCC Features and Management

Of the patients who developed HCC, 12 patients (52.2%) met the Milan criteria, and according to the BCLC classifica-

tion, a majority of them were in class A (39.1%) (Table 5). Regarding the imaging characteristics of the tumor, most patients had a single nodule (65.2%), and the presence of the capsule was highlighted in most cases (82.6%). Malignant portal vein thrombosis (PVT) was demonstrated in 26% of the patients, and local/distant metastases was noticed in 13% of the patients.

The therapeutic management of the patients with HCC was performed according to the BCLC classification. Most patients (n = 11, 47.8%) received curative treatment by surgical resection, among whom histopathological examination detected a moderately differentiated tumor (G2) in five patients, a poorly differentiated tumor (G3) in five patients, and a well-differentiated tumor (G1) only in one pa-

Table 4. Cox Regression Analysis for HCC Occurrence

Variables	Multivariate Analysis		
	HR	95% CI	P
Male (gender)	1.25	0.866 - 1.825	0.278
Age > 60 years	2.08	1.409 - 3.066	0.002
Obesity	1.32	0.182 - 9.577	0.792
Treatment experienced	1.53	0.981 - 2.404	0.094
AFP EOT > 10 ng/mL	3.01	1.092 - 8.340	0.046

Abbreviations: AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; EOT, end of treatment.

Table 5. HCC Classification and Imaging Features ^a

Variables	HCC Cases (n=23)
Milan	
Within	12 (52.2)
Beyond	11 (47.8)
BCLC	
A	9 (39.1)
B	4 (17.4)
C	7 (30.4)
D	3 (13)
Imaging feature	
Single tumor	15 (65.2)
Capsule visualization	19 (82.6)
Metastasis	3 (13)
Malignant PVT	6 (26)

Abbreviations: BCLC, Barcelona clinic liver cancer; PVT, portal vein thrombosis.

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

tient. Three patients (27%) had HCC recurrence after a mean of 6 ± 5.2 months and were subsequently addressed for systemic therapy.

5. Discussion

The high rate of SVR, along with an excellent tolerability profile and extended indication for antiviral treatment at all stages of chronic HCV infection, enhanced expectations for lower HCC rates after viral eradication with the DAA therapy among clinicians worldwide. Such enthusiasm was overshadowed after the publication of data indicating, in contrast to expectations, unexpectedly higher novo and recurrent HCC after DAA treatment in patients with chronic HCV infection (13, 14). These findings have led to the emergence of an "avalanche" of studies assessing this risk (Table 6).

Contrary to our expectations, we observed the sustained HCC incidence rates for up to 5.1 follow-up years in HCV-free cirrhotic patients after the DAA treatment. The cumulative 5-year risk was 2.6%, and this value exceeded the cutoff beyond which HCC surveillance was cost-effective (24). Nonetheless, similar to the findings of other studies, the findings of the present study support the incidence

of HCC, with no evidence on the high occurrence of de novo HCC after the DAA therapy. For example, a prospective study by Cheung et al. (19) revealed no increased risk of HCC during or 12 months after the DAA cessation in 406 patients with HCV-related decompensated cirrhosis. Furthermore, the researchers concluded that the HCC incidence of 4.2% observed during the first six months after DAAs was identical to the incidence rate in the untreated control group. Similarly, a cohort study on 22,500 DAA treated patients reported a significant decrease in the HCC risk in patients who achieved SVR compared to the non-responders (0.90 vs. 3.45 cases of HCC/100 person-years) (18). Interestingly, the same authors in their later article on DAA-treated patients, who were followed up over 3.5 years after SVR, reported the 1-, 2-, and 3-year cumulative risks of HCC to be 1.1, 1.9, and 2.8%, respectively (24).

In contrast to the IFN era, the increased efficacy and tolerability of DAA allowed treatment in patients with more risk factors for HCC than patients in historical cohorts treated with IFN, the most relevant of which were older age, diabetes mellitus, and liver cirrhosis. Regarding the presence of risk factors, the existence of inhomogeneity in the DAA and IFN groups may explain the higher incidence rates of HCC in patients treated with the new antivirals. Recent data have indicated that the risk factors associated with de novo and recurrent HCC after DAA treatment are represented by older age, advanced liver fibrosis, and the absence of SVR (14, 16, 17). In the present study, age > 65 years at baseline and a cutoff value of AFP at EOT = 10 ng/mL were independent risk factors associated with the HCC occurrence.

Although there are abundant data regarding the HCC occurrence and recurrence after DAAs, few reports assessed the behavior of HCC and access to curative therapy after the DAA treatment (25-31). Regarding tumor aggression, the patients with HCC in the present study revealed aggression less frequently than those reported in other studies. For example, a recent study by Fayoume et al. demonstrated that the frequency of cases with multiple HCC and infiltrative HCC was significantly higher among the DAA-treated patients than in naïve patients with HCC (28). In contrast, most HCC patients in the present study

Table 6. Hepatocellular Carcinoma Incidence After DAAs

References	Research Population	HCC Incidence
Retrospective studies		
Conti et al. (14)	285 cirrhotic patients treated with DAAs	3.16%
Singer et al. (16)	30183 DAA-treated, 12948 IFN-treated, and 137502 untreated	1.18/100 PY
Nahon et al. (7)	336 DAA-treated, 495 IFN-treated with SVR, and 439 IFN-treated without SVR	2.6/100 PY
Ioannou et al. (17)	21948 DAA-treated, 35871 IFN-treated, 4535 DAA + IFN treated	1.32/100 PY
Janjua et al. (10)	8871 IFN-treated and 3905 DAA-treated	6.9/1000 PY
Kanwal et al. (18)	22500 DAA-treated	1.18/100 PY
Prospective studies		
Cheung et al. (19)	406 DAA-treated and 261 untreated	4%
Mettke et al. (20)	148 DAA-treated and 184 untreated	2.90/100 PY
Carrat et al. (21)	7344 DAA-treated and 2551 untreated	1.40/100 PY
Poordad et al. (22)	2211 DAA-treated	1.4%
Sangiovanni et al. (23)	1285 DAA-treated (n = 1285)	3.1/100 PY

Abbreviations: DAAs, direct-acting antivirals; IFN, interferon; SVR, sustained virological response; PY, person-year.

had a single nodule (65.2%). The portal vein invasion was observed in 26% of patients, and there were local and distant metastases in 13% of the patients. Furthermore, according to the BCLC classification, most patients were in classes O and A; thus, they had access to curative treatment. Similarly, Fatima et al. reported that, considering the BCLC stages, multiplicity, malignant PVT, and local spread through malignant lymphadenopathy, the HCC pattern did not differ between patients treated with IFN and those treated with DAAs (29).

The main limitation of the present study was the presence of no control group of untreated patients to compare the characteristics and the prognosis of HCC after DAAs. Other limitations were the lack of patients with decompensated cirrhosis and the use of a single DAA regimen in our cohort.

5.1. Conclusions

The present study revealed no evidence of the high HCC occurrence after long-term follow-up of patients with HCV genotype 1b infection and liver cirrhosis, who achieved SVR following the DAA treatment. However, the cumulative 5-year risk remained above the cutoff point, above which the HCC screening becomes cost-effective. According to these findings, clinicians should maintain HCC surveillance in those with liver cirrhosis at the time of SVR. The tumor phenotype does not seem to be more aggressive after DAAs, and the access to curative therapy is similar to that of the HCC associated with other liver diseases. The evaluation of the HCC pattern requires prospective case-control studies comparing the clinical-biological and imaging markers of tumor aggression between the HCC cases after DAAs and naïve patients.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: C. M. M., C. S., and A. T. contributed to the conception and design of the study; C.C. P., I. G., L. H., O. C. P., A. M. S., and C. C. were involved in the data collection procedure and contributed to the data analysis and interpretation; C. M. M., T. C., C. S., S. Z., and S. C. drafted the manuscript; G. S., I. C., C. U. L., E. M., and A. T. reviewed the paper. All of the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: The study protocol was approved by the National Ethics Committee of the Medicines and Medical Devices on October 10, 2016 (Code: 27 SNI).

Funding/Support: There was no funding/support.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Patients were recruited during their routine clinical visits to the hospital, and no personal information or images of the participants were published in the manuscript.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;**71**(3):209–49. doi: [10.3322/caac.21660](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660). [PubMed: [33538338](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33538338/)].
2. Petrick JL, Florio AA, Znaor A, Ruggieri D, Laversanne M, Alvarez CS, et al. International trends in hepatocellular carcinoma incidence, 1978–2012. *Int J Cancer.* 2020;**147**(2):317–30. doi: [10.1002/ijc.32723](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32723). [PubMed: [31597196](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31597196/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7470451](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7470451/)].
3. Sangiovanni A, Del Ninno E, Fasani P, De Fazio C, Ronchi G, Romeo R, et al. Increased survival of cirrhotic patients with a hepatocellular carcinoma detected during surveillance. *Gastroenterology.* 2004;**126**(4):1005–14. doi: [10.1053/j.gastro.2003.12.049](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2003.12.049). [PubMed: [15057740](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15057740/)].
4. World Health Organization. *Global hepatitis report, 2017*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2017.

5. Muzica CM, Stanciu C, Huiban L, Singeap AM, Sfarti C, Zenovia S, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma after direct-acting antiviral hepatitis C virus therapy: A debate near the end. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2020;**26**(43):6770–81. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v26.i43.6770](#). [PubMed: [33268960](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7684455](#)].
6. Webster DP, Klenerman P, Dusheiko GM. Hepatitis C. *Lancet.* 2015;**385**(9973):1124–35. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)62401-6](#). [PubMed: [25687730](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4878852](#)].
7. Nahon P, Bourcier V, Layese R, Audureau E, Cagnot C, Marcellin P, et al. Eradication of hepatitis C virus infection in patients with cirrhosis reduces risk of liver and non-liver complications. *Gastroenterology.* 2017;**152**(1):142–156 e2. doi: [10.1053/j.gastro.2016.09.009](#). [PubMed: [27641509](#)].
8. Tada T, Kumada T, Toyoda H, Kiriya S, Tanikawa M, Hisanaga Y, et al. Viral eradication reduces all-cause mortality in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection: A propensity score analysis. *Liver Int.* 2016;**36**(6):817–26. doi: [10.1111/liv.13071](#). [PubMed: [26787002](#)].
9. Yu ML, Lin SM, Chuang WL, Dai CY, Wang JH, Lu SN, et al. A sustained virological response to interferon or interferon/ribavirin reduces hepatocellular carcinoma and improves survival in chronic hepatitis C: A nationwide, multicentre study in Taiwan. *Antivir Ther.* 2006;**11**(8):985–94. [PubMed: [17302368](#)].
10. Janjua NZ, Chong M, Kuo M, Woods R, Wong J, Yoshida EM, et al. Long-term effect of sustained virological response on hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis C in Canada. *J Hepatol.* 2017;**66**(3):504–13. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2016.10.028](#). [PubMed: [27818234](#)].
11. Brown JL. Interferon therapy reduces the risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut.* 2000;**47**(5):610–1. doi: [10.1136/gut.47.5.610](#). [PubMed: [11034573](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC1728124](#)].
12. Laursen TL, Sandahl TD, Kazankov K, George J, Gronbaek H. Liver-related effects of chronic hepatitis C antiviral treatment. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2020;**26**(22):2931–47. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v26.i22.2931](#). [PubMed: [32587440](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7304101](#)].
13. Reig M, Marino Z, Perello C, Inarrairaegui M, Ribeiro A, Lens S, et al. Unexpected high rate of early tumor recurrence in patients with HCV-related HCC undergoing interferon-free therapy. *J Hepatol.* 2016;**65**(4):719–26. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2016.04.008](#). [PubMed: [27084592](#)].
14. Conti F, Buonfiglioli F, Scuteri A, Crespi C, Bolondi L, Caraceni P, et al. Early occurrence and recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in HCV-related cirrhosis treated with direct-acting antivirals. *J Hepatol.* 2016;**65**(4):727–33. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2016.06.015](#). [PubMed: [27349488](#)].
15. European Association for the Study of the Liver; Clinical Practice Guidelines Panel Chair; Easl Governing Board Representative; Panel Members. EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C: Final update of the series. *J Hepatol.* 2020;**73**(5):1170–218. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2020.08.018](#). [PubMed: [32956768](#)].
16. Singer AW, Reddy KR, Telep LE, Osinusi AO, Brainard DM, Buti M, et al. Direct-acting antiviral treatment for hepatitis C virus infection and risk of incident liver cancer: A retrospective cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2018;**47**(9):1278–87. doi: [10.1111/apt.14593](#). [PubMed: [29516535](#)].
17. Ioannou GN, Green PK, Berry K. HCV eradication induced by direct-acting antiviral agents reduces the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol.* 2017. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2017.08.030](#). [PubMed: [28887168](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5837901](#)].
18. Kanwal F, Kramer J, Asch SM, Chayanupatkul M, Cao Y, El-Serag HB. Risk of hepatocellular cancer in HCV patients treated with direct-acting antiviral agents. *Gastroenterology.* 2017;**153**(4):996–1005 e1. doi: [10.1053/j.gastro.2017.06.012](#). [PubMed: [28642197](#)].
19. Cheung MCM, Walker AJ, Hudson BE, Verma S, McLauchlan J, Mutimer DJ, et al. Outcomes after successful direct-acting antiviral therapy for patients with chronic hepatitis C and decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol.* 2016;**65**(4):741–7. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2016.06.019](#). [PubMed: [27388925](#)].
20. Mettke F, Schlevogt B, Deterding K, Wranke A, Smith A, Port K, et al. Interferon-free therapy of chronic hepatitis C with direct-acting antivirals does not change the short-term risk for de novo hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2018;**47**(4):516–25. doi: [10.1111/apt.14427](#). [PubMed: [29205405](#)].
21. Carrat F, Fontaine H, Dorival C, Simony M, Diallo A, Hezode C, et al. Clinical outcomes in patients with chronic hepatitis C after direct-acting antiviral treatment: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2019;**393**(10179):1453–64. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32111-1](#). [PubMed: [30765123](#)].
22. Poordad F, Castro RE, Asatryan A, Aguilar H, Cacoub P, Dieterich D, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy results in hepatitis C virus genotype 1-infected patients receiving ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir + dasabuvir +/- ribavirin in the TOPAZ-I and TOPAZ-II trials. *J Viral Hepat.* 2020;**27**(5):497–504. doi: [10.1111/jvh.13261](#). [PubMed: [31954087](#)].
23. Sangiovanni A, Alimenti E, Gattai R, Filomia R, Parente E, Valenti L, et al. Undefined/non-malignant hepatic nodules are associated with early occurrence of HCC in DAA-treated patients with HCV-related cirrhosis. *J Hepatol.* 2020;**73**(3):593–602. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2020.03.030](#). [PubMed: [32243959](#)].
24. Kanwal F, Kramer JR, Asch SM, Cao Y, Li L, El-Serag HB. Long-term risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in HCV patients treated with direct acting antiviral agents. *Hepatology.* 2020;**71**(1):44–55. doi: [10.1002/hep.30823](#). [PubMed: [31222774](#)].
25. Renzulli M, Buonfiglioli F, Conti F, Brocchi S, Serio I, Foschi FG, et al. Imaging features of microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma developed after direct-acting antiviral therapy in HCV-related cirrhosis. *Eur Radiol.* 2018;**28**(2):506–13. doi: [10.1007/s00330-017-5033-3](#). [PubMed: [28894901](#)].
26. Abdelaziz AO, Nabil MM, Abdelmaksoud AH, Shousha HI, Hashem MB, Hassan EM, et al. Tumor behavior of hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatitis C treatment by direct-acting antivirals: Comparative analysis with non-direct-acting antivirals-treated patients. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2019;**31**(1):75–9. doi: [10.1097/MEG.0000000000001264](#). [PubMed: [30199473](#)].
27. Nakao Y, Hashimoto S, Abiru S, Komori A, Yamasaki K, Nagaoka S, et al. Rapidly growing, moderately differentiated HCC: A clinicopathological characteristic of HCC occurrence after IFN-free DAA therapy? *J Hepatol.* 2018;**68**(4):854–5. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2017.11.011](#). [PubMed: [29146486](#)].
28. El Fayoumie M, Abdelhady M, Gawish A, Hantour U, Abdelkhalik I, Abdelraheem M, et al. Changing patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma after treatment with direct antiviral agents. *Gastrointest Tumors.* 2020;**7**(1-2):50–60. doi: [10.1159/000505326](#). [PubMed: [32399465](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7206583](#)].
29. Fatima T, Mumtaz H, Khan MH, Rasool S, Tayyeb M, Haider MZ, et al. Patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma after direct acting antiviral agents and pegylated-interferon therapy. *Cureus.* 2020;**12**(11):e11565. doi: [10.7759/cureus.11565](#). [PubMed: [33364092](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7749863](#)].
30. Shiha G, Amer T, Mikhail NNH, Soliman R, Elbasiony M, Gad D. Characterization of hepatocellular carcinoma following direct-acting antiviral therapy: A prospective study. *J Antivir Antiretrovir.* 2020;**12**(3):202.
31. Khalid J, Umar M, Ur-Rehman T, Ali M, Khan GM. Tumor aggression among hepatitis-C related hepatocellular carcinoma patients: An observational study regarding the impact of anti-HCV therapy. *Infect Agent Cancer.* 2020;**15**:35. doi: [10.1186/s13027-020-00300-z](#). [PubMed: [32508980](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7251734](#)].